

EXHIBIT B

**SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT ON ALLOCATION
WITH RESPECT TO EXXON'S BAYTOWN AND BATON
ROUGE REFINERY AND CHEMICAL COMPLEXES**

MATTHEW A. LOW

January 31, 2020

**SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT ON ALLOCATION WITH RESPECT TO
EXXON’S BAYTOWN AND BATON ROUGE REFINERY AND CHEMICAL
COMPLEXES**

MATTHEW A. LOW

January 31, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF PRODUCTION-BASED SPREADSHEET REPLICATING EXXON’S ALLOCATION.....	1
III.	DISCUSSION OF RELEVANT FACTS WARRANTING ADJUSTMENTS TO BASE ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE	4
A.	<u>Baytown -- SWMU 60 – Mitchell Point</u>.....	4
B.	<u>Baytown -- Former Ordnance Works Cleanup</u>	6
C.	<u>Baytown -- SWMU Investigation</u>.....	7
D.	<u>Baytown -- Canals</u>	8
E.	Baytown -- South Landfarm	8
F.	Baton Rouge – Old Silt Pond and Rice Paddy Landfarm	10
G.	<u>Baton Rouge -- Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation Site Assessments Soil Remediation</u>	10
H.	<u>Baton Rouge -- Miscellaneous/No Description</u>	10
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	10

Attachment 1 -- Documents Relied Upon

Attachment 2 -- Baytown -- White Contract Replication

Attachment 3 -- Baytown -- Low Inputs,

Attachment 4 -- Baton Rouge -- White Contract Replication and

Attachment 5 – Baton Rouge -- Low inputs

Attachment 6 – Tank 3000 Plume Characterization Analysis

Attachment 7 – SWMU Investigation Analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT ON ALLOCATION WITH RESPECT TO EXXON'S BAYTOWN AND BATON ROUGE REFINERY AND CHEMICAL COMPLEXES

MATTHEW A. LOW

January 31, 2020

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to conform my allocation analysis with the Court's August 17, 2018 Summary Judgment Opinion, which stated that the court will apply a production-based model, using the crude throughput capacity at each facility as a surrogate for the amount of hazardous substances generated each year. This report supplements my reports dated August 10, 2012, November 16, 2012, and January 30, 2017, my deposition testimony, and my declarations dated December 20, 2013, and December 8, 2017 submitted in support of the government's motions for summary judgement.

In this report, I describe the production-based spreadsheet that I created that replicates Exxon's series of production-based spreadsheets. I intend to refer to this spreadsheet in my trial testimony. The spreadsheet recreates a working form of Mr. White's allocation model, with his inputs, in Microsoft Excel format.¹ I also have updated my inputs and allocation calculations into this spreadsheet and created a summary sheet that should allow the Court to more easily compare the differences between the inputs that I believe are appropriate and the inputs relied on by Mr. White. These inputs include those related to waste reduction multipliers and delay factors, which are included in Mr. White's allocation.

Along with discussion of the updated spreadsheet, this report also includes supplemental discussion concerning facts relevant to allocation of certain of the cost components (or cost units) claimed by Exxon, which, in some cases, have caused me to adjust the allocation percentages for these cost components.

Attachment 1 is a list of documents I have relied on or considered for this report.

II. SUMMARY OF PRODUCTION-BASED SPREADSHEET REPLICATING EXXON'S ALLOCATION

The spreadsheet that I have generated first calculates what I refer to as Mr. White's "Contract Base Case" for Baytown and Baton Rouge Refinery past costs. The basic component of the spreadsheet is a single **Refinery Allocation** worksheet, formulated as follows:

¹ Versions of this spreadsheet are marked as Attachment 2, Baytown White Contract Replication, Attachment 3, Baytown Low Inputs, Attachment 4, Baton Rouge White Contract Replication and Attachment 5, Baton Rouge Low inputs. They update and replace any previous attachments to my expert reports and declarations that replicated Mr. White's production-based spreadsheets.

- Columns numbered 1-12 show the year-by-year crude throughput and the adjustments and multipliers relied on by Mr. White to lower the response cost impacts of the years after joint U.S./Exxon involvement. These columns calculate the year-by-year percentage of response costs, denoted by Mr. White as his “cost to years (formerly denoted “intra-class”) allocation. The term “cost to years” as used by Mr. White, is equivalent to the term “response cost impact” of each year, which is the term used most frequently in my prior reports. This is also what is referred to by the Court as Step 1 of the allocation analysis (assigning shares of waste to the various years of plant operation).
- Columns 13-24 show Mr. White formerly denoted as “inter-class” multipliers for what he terms the “federal period,” 1941-1955. Mr. White’s use of the term “inter-class” allocation is similar to my use of the term “degree of involvement” in allocating between the U.S. and Exxon for the periods of joint U.S./Exxon involvement.² These columns include what is referred to by the Court as Step 2 (determining what portion of cost that are associated with the period of United States involvement and are attributable to war products for the United States is responsible) and Step 3 (equitably dividing the portion of wartime related costs subject to allocation based on the parties respective degree of involvement) of the allocation analysis. The term “federal period” is simply Mr. White’s term for the period of joint U.S./Exxon involvement. Inputs include the most relevant “inter-class” multipliers: the percentage of crude throughput attributed to 100 Octane Avgas and Other War Products counted in the allocation to the U.S.; the percentage impact on refinery unit response costs distributed between the Refinery operations and Plancor operations, the percentage of each year’s crude throughput attributed to Mr. White’s “delay factor” and the share allocated to the U.S. for the Refineries and Plancors during each year of federal involvement.

As shown in Attachment 2, for Baytown, and Attachment 4, for Baton Rouge, using this version of Mr. White’s framework and his assumptions in what he terms the “contract case,” I calculate a “Base Case” U.S. allocation percentage of 29.67% at Baytown and 19.39% at Baton Rouge.³

The Excel workbook is designed to facilitate, for the Court’s convenience, placing a series of key inputs that can be adjusted based on the Court’s findings (“switches”) and the resulting allocation share and monetary payout on the front page, while the remainder of the calculations required to work Mr. White’s model are performed on the accompanying worksheets. The worksheets behind the Switch worksheet, however, are fully transparent and subject to examination to confirm that the spreadsheet calculations are working as intended. The individual inputs on the Switch worksheet are meant to allow for adjustments with regard to issues or variables that are disputed, so that the user can test results on particular changes in real

² Mr. White appears in his more recent reports to have substituted the term “costs subject to allocation” in Step 2 of his analysis and “degree of involvement” or “equitable allocation” in Step 3 of his analysis.

³ This is equal to the 29.67% in Mr. White’s Baytown spreadsheet, and is comparable to 19.40% in Mr. White’s Baton Rouge spreadsheet with the slight difference likely due to rounding. Thus, I believe I have fairly replicated Mr. White’s inputs and calculations in my version of his framework.

time. In order to help with orientation regarding which part of the three-step allocation the Court proposes to use is being adjusted, I have organized the inputs so that they reflect Steps 1-3 in the Court's Summary Judgement Opinion.

Exxon's allocation analysis results in a single allocation percentage for each refinery that it suggests should be applied to 100% of the costs claimed at each refinery. As noted above, I refer to this percentage as the Base Case Contract percentage. However, as I have stated repeatedly in prior reports, depositions and declarations, in my opinion, Exxon, through Mr. White and other experts, has ignored relevant facts distinguishing the various cost components. In a number of cases, such relevant facts make application of a single Base Case refinery percentage wholly inappropriate. To that end, the spreadsheet that I have generated includes a **Costs and Relevant Facts** worksheet. This worksheet is linked to the **Switches** worksheet and allows further adjustment to account for relevant facts to equitably adjust the Base Case allocation for particular units. The adjustments affect only the unit addressed, and do not otherwise impact the Base Case calculations. A description of relevant facts and the equitable adjustment multipliers is included in the **Switches** and **Costs and Relevant Facts** worksheets. The relevant fact adjustments are referred to in the **Switches** worksheet as Step 3A.

Attachments 3, for Baytown, and 5, for Baton Rouge, include suggested inputs to the **Switches** worksheet. These inputs include a series of waste reduction multipliers that are equivalent to those resulting from a time of use analysis. However, the spreadsheet is set up to provide for inputs of alternative waste adjustment multipliers. In addition, these attachments assume that the delay factor is not included in calculating the United States share. However, the spreadsheet is set up so that some percentage of Mr. White's delay factor can be applied if the court finds it appropriate.

For some units claimed by Exxon, in my opinion, the relevant facts suggest that the U.S. should be awarded either 0% share or a minimal share based on the lack of a nexus to the contamination at issue. In such cases, I have included percentage inputs for consideration in the event the Court decides to allocate the United States more than a minimal share for the unit in question. However, the spreadsheet is set up so that different multipliers can be inputted.

III. DISCUSSION OF RELEVANT FACTS WARRANTING ADJUSTMENTS TO BASE ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE

In this section I describe relevant facts that I relied on to adjust the Base Case allocation percentages for certain units – which I have denoted as Step 3A in the allocation analysis.

A. Baytown -- SWMU 60 – Mitchell Point

In my January 30, 2017 Supplemental Report, I noted, among other things, that, according to Exxon reports, SWMU 60 was used during 1930-1947 as a disposal site for dredge

spoil from the Houston Ship Channel; from 1947-1973 as an earthwork, and from 1957-1973 as a landfarm and a staging area for transfer pipelines, and a disposal site for butyl rubber.⁴

I also noted that Exxon has not indicated how production during 1942-1955 impacted any dredging activities and, therefore, how Exxon's claimed response costs were impacted by production activities during that period and that, in the absence of evidence that would demonstrate that operations during the period of government involvement contributed contamination to this unit, in my opinion, there is no basis to attribute any response cost impact for this unit to the period of federal involvement.

My understanding is that Exxon has suggested that butyl rubber was disposed in this SWMU and that some of the rubber might have come from the period when the government owned the plant. I have reviewed additional documents that indicate that the remedy implemented at SWMU 60 was limited to the removal of two small soil "hot spots" and installation of an engineered soil cap over the majority of the former landfarming area, as shown in Exxon's July 21, 2011 Remedial Action Completion Report.⁵ Soil excavation was limited to the upper two feet at the soil "hot spots." As shown, one of the areas excavated was outside the refinery boundary.

The excavation area outside the refinery boundary apparently was in an area discussed in a November 1998 Arthur D. Little study. A.D Little analyzed soil samples from four areas in SWMU 60 for fingerprinting purposes, including an area that was referred to in the report as "butyl rubber land disposal area to the west."⁶ The report concluded that the sample in was referred to as the "rubber area" provided a fingerprint for butyl rubber.⁷ Exxon attempted to identify one or more analytes (i.e., tert-butyl alcohol and isoprene) that might be signatures for rubber waste or other wastes that originated in the Baytown Chemical Plant, which at least might have included wastes associated with the former Butyl Rubber (Plancor 1082) plant. However, analyses for these compounds during the Phase I RFI did not detect either analyte above its LOQs. As a result, both analytes were dropped from the Phase II sampling program.⁸

Exxon has not demonstrated that any waste driving the remediation in the landfarming area was from the federal involvement period. In addition, there is no evidence that the possible presence of rubber in one of the two small excavation areas was driven by rubber-related

⁴ Revised RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan for Twenty-Two Solid Waste Management Units, June 15, 1998. ERM. BAYTECH-00003336, at 3472. The timeframe during which butyl rubber was disposed is not indicated.

⁵ July 2011 Remedial Action Completion Report, BAYTECH-00179486 – 179489, 00179500

⁶ BAYTECH-00045469.

⁷ BAYTECH-00045473. However, data quality reports indicated that the samples used in this analysis exceeded the recommended EPA guideline temperatures for handling and preserving samples, and sample holding times were exceeded for some analytes (BAYTECH-00045480), putting into question whether sample analysis was compromised.

⁸ BAYTECH-00007506.

chemicals. Confirmatory samples in the area were tested for the presence of lead, not for any rubber-related constituents.⁹ In light of these facts, in my opinion there is no basis to assign an allocation share to the United States for this unit. Attachment 3 includes a 20% adjustment to the Base Case if the Court determines that more than a 0% or minimal share should be allocated to the United States for this unit.

B. Baytown -- Former Ordnance Works Cleanup

The costs categorized by Exxon as “Former Ordnance Works Cleanup” appear to be for investigation of a groundwater contamination plume located in the vicinity of the former Baytown Ordnance Works (BOW). The BOW, which was considerably expanded after Exxon acquired it in 1946, is now a part of the Baytown Chemical Plant, which borders the Baytown Refinery. This plume area is commonly referred to in Exxon’s technical documents as the Tank Farm 3000 area. For purposes of the present case, Exxon has categorized these costs as cleanup of the former Ordnance Works, and presented an analysis based on 100% of the contamination being associated with the Ordnance Works. However, as noted in my January 30, 2017 Supplemental Report, it is apparent that the plume actually straddles the border between the Baytown Chemical Plant and the Baytown Refinery. And as I also noted, according to an analysis conducted by Exxon to address how to share the cleanup costs for addressing this plume between the Chemical Plant and the Refinery, Exxon estimated that about 42% of the contaminants in the groundwater plume are from the operations in the area of the Ordnance Works (and therefore should be allocated to the Chemical Plant) and 58% of the contaminants in the plume are from operations in the Refinery.¹⁰ Thus, a proper allocation for these costs suggests that:

- 58% of the allocation should be based on an allocation percentage calculated for the refinery groundwater plumes.
- 42% of the allocation, should be based on an allocation percentage calculated for the Chemical Plant, including the original Ordnance Works and its subsequent expansion, and the addition of new chemical plant units.

No post-1946 production information for the Ordnance Works plant or the expanded Chemical Plant was produced by Exxon so there is no basis to compare the operations during WW II when the government owned the plant, with the operations and generation of contaminants beginning in early 1946, when Exxon owned and operated it. However, as I noted Exxon’s own documents indicate that the plant was expanded and many new units were added after Exxon purchased it in 1946.¹¹ Exxon’s own contractor’s analysis of hydrocarbons in the

⁹ BAYTECH-00179486 – 179489, 00179500

¹⁰ BC Groundwater Tank farm 3000 Remediation Update, BTRF/BTCP Allocation Update, 6/20/95. BAYC-00051445; BAYTECH-00045798.

¹¹ Humble 1946 Annual Report. MIS-00026521.

groundwater plume,¹² which was required to be submitted to Texas regulatory authorities as a condition of a consent decree with the state, indicated that contaminants in the groundwater plume include mixed xylenes from the Paraxylene Extraction Unit (PXU), (which, according to Exxon's Annual Report, was installed in 1953,¹³ and the kerosene feed and LPU product from the Linear Paraffins Unit (LPU), installed in 1964, both of which came into operation after any U.S. involvement with this facility. PCB contamination in the groundwater was traced to the LPU. In addition, the analysis also indicates that certain alkylates found in the groundwater are from the 1970s. Constituents associated with the LPU and PXU were allocated to the Chemical Plant in Exxon's 1995 internal allocation.

Exxon updated its Tank Farm 3000 analysis in a November 1994 Memorandum report which included additional sampling from 1993 and 1994 along with the depth of free-phase hydrocarbons in each sample.¹⁴ The memorandum reported the same conclusions with respect to sources of hydrocarbon constituents. I had not taken this data into account in my original analysis. I have now had an opportunity to examine the additional data, and I have updated my January 30, 2017 analysis of Exxon's characterization of samples of chemical constituents comprising the Tank 3000 Plume and Exxon's measurement of the depth of free-phase hydrocarbons in the sampling locations using this additional data. Based on this data and Exxon's characterization, approximately 29% of constituents in the plume are from releases associated with the LPU and PXU.¹⁵ Exxon's characterization analysis did not attribute any of the hydrocarbon constituents in the plume to releases from operations of the BOW during 1942-1946.

For allocation purposes, based on Exxon's own analysis, 58% of the costs claimed for this plume should be allocated on the same Base Case percentage as the refinery allocation for other groundwater units, and approximately 13% of the costs (42% minus 29% to account for the 29% of constituents from the LPU and PXU) should be allocated based on the Chemical Plant/BOW allocation in my updated spreadsheet (Attachment 3).

C. Baytown -- SWMU Investigation

Exxon has claimed costs for investigation of a number of Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs). The list of SWMUs included units that were in operation during the period of government involvement and units that were placed into operation after the period of government involvement. In my August 10, 2012 report, I estimated that that approximately 50% of the costs of the SWMU investigation were for SWMUs that were not in operation during

¹² 2/2/1993 AES Phase III Subsurface Investigation of Tank Farm 3000 and adjacent areas. Exxon Chemical Americas, Baytown Chemical Plant, BAYTECH-00027105

¹³ Humble 1953 Annual Report. MIS-00008371.

¹⁴ November 28, 1994 Exxon Research and Engineering Company Interoffice Note: Characterization of Hydrocarbon Samples Recovered from Underground Wells, BAYTECH-00045802, 807, 808.

¹⁵ See Attachment 6. The analysis sums up the constituents in each sample and adjusts them to take into account the different depths of each sample to calculate the total percentage by weight of each constituent identified in Exxon's analysis.

the period of government involvement. I had not included a spreadsheet showing this analysis in my original report. The spreadsheet showing this analysis is included as Attachment 7. In my original allocation, I generated an allocation for each individual unit based. In my updated spreadsheets, I have incorporated a crude throughput-based production methodology to derive a Base Case allocation and I have applied a 50% relevant fact adjustment against the Base Case allocation percentage calculated in Attachment 3. I have not made any changes to my approach for this specific unit, but the calculations are expressed differently in my updated spreadsheets.

D. Baytown -- Canals

My August 10, 2012 report, I indicated that I thought it was appropriate to apply a higher (50%) waste reduction multiplier for the canals unit than for other units. This multiplier was included in the calculation of something equivalent to a Base Case share. In my current spreadsheet, I have accounted for this in the “Relevant Facts” adjustment to the Base Case. By my calculation the 50% additional waste reduction multiplier had the effect of increasing the allocation percentage for the canals by approximately 35%-40% over the Base Case allocation percentage. In order to account for this in Attachment 3 includes a 140% relevant fact adjustment against the Base Case allocation percentage for the canals unit. Similar to the SWMU Investigation allocation, above, I have not made any changes to my approach for this specific unit, but the calculations are expressed differently in my updated spreadsheets.

E. Baytown -- South Landfarm

In my previous reports, I estimated that approximately 2% of waste in the South Landfarm was generated from closure of Separators 10 (a.k.a. Spill Basin) 3M North and 3M South. This estimate was based on Exxon’s closure plans for these units. I have since reviewed additional documents and revised my estimate of the percentage of waste in the South Landfarm, from these units, increasing it to 18%. Discussion of this change follows.

A plan was submitted for the closure of the South Landfarm in 1988.¹⁶ The plan indicated that the maximum waste inventory estimated to be in the unit at the time of closure was 425,000 cubic yards. Of this amount, the plan indicated that:

- 165,000 cubic yards was from pre-1985 operations (this would have been prior to the time that sludge and soil from the closures of separators 3M North, 3M South, and 3A and Spill Basin 1 (formerly Separator 10) would have been placed in the South Landfarm.
- 135,000 cubic yards was since 1985 – this would have included sludge and soil from closures of separators 3M North, 3M South, 3A, and Spill Basin 1 (formerly Separator 10).

¹⁶ November 7, 1988 Revised Closure Plan for South Landfarm, BAYTECH-00010217.

- 125,000 cubic yards was estimated to be placed there in the future (“active waste”) consisting of normal operations (15,000), retention basin sludge (50,000) and inert catalyst pond solids (60,000), all from operations with no federal involvement.¹⁷

Only the volume of soil from closure of Separators 3M North and South and 10 would be relevant to the years of U.S. involvement, since sludge was routinely removed from these units and any sludge present at closure would not be from the years of U.S. Involvement.¹⁸

Exxon has not provided a complete set of invoices that would account for the total volume of contaminated soils removed from Separator 3M North and South and Spill Basin 1 (formerly Separator 10), the only units relevant to U.S. involvement. Based on the available invoices, reported volumes of soil are 49,074 cubic yards for Separator 3M North at 90% project completion,¹⁹ and 8,729 cubic yards at 100% completion for Separator 3M South²⁰. Exxon estimated that 60,000 cubic yards of soil would need to be removed from Separator 3M North.²¹ No volumes of soil from Spill Basin 1 have been reported, although the original estimate in the closure plan was 6,000 cubic yards.²² Total reported or estimated volume of soil is then 74,726 cubic yards. The total reported volume of sludge from the invoices for Separators 3M North and South and Spill Basin 1 is 61,657 cubic yards.

The combined total of sludge and soil (136,383 cy) is higher than the estimated 90,000-99,000 cubic yards of sludge and soil suggested by the 1988 South Landfarm closure plan, but it is relatively close. The total does not include a total of sludge and soil for Separator 3A, a unit that is not relevant to the U.S. share.

Based on the above estimates, the percentage of soils in the South Landfarm from cleanup of Separators 3M North and South and Spill Basin 1 is approximately 18% ($74,726/425,000=17.6\%$). This percentage is applied in Attachment 3 as a relevant fact adjustment multiplier to the U.S. Base Case allocation percentage. Thus, for example, if the

¹⁷ BAYTECH-00010217.

¹⁸ See February 17, 1984 Partial Closure Plan for Separator 3M, BAYTECH 00013407, page 3-1 (“*Since sludges are routinely removed from the separator every two years, closure would not begin until the removal of contaminated soils occurs*”); January 20, 1985 Closure Plans for Spill Basin, Separator 3M (North), Separator 3A and South Landfarm, BAYTECH-00095556, page 4-12 (“*It should be noted that pump-out of settled sludges from the separators is part of the unit’s normal operating procedure. As such, closure will not normally actually commence in a regulatory sense until removal of contaminated soils begins*”).

¹⁹ BAYTECH-00126137. Exxon had estimated that 60,000 cy of soil would need to be removed from 3M North. The last invoice produced by Exxon shows that, at 90% complete, 49,074 cy soil had been removed. I have used the 60,000 cy figure in my calculations.

²⁰ BAYTECH-00125872.

²¹ (September 9, 1985 CATH 13395X Clean and Close Separator 3M, BAYTECH-00125079-80).

²² January 20, 1985 Closure Plans for Spill Basin, Separator 3M (North), Separator 3A and South Landfarm, BAYTECH-00095556, page 3-23, 4-31.

Court decides that the Base Case refinery allocation (applied to the separator units) is 10%, the allocation for the South Landfarm should be 18% x 10%, or 1.8%.

F. Baton Rouge – Old Silt Pond and Rice Paddy Landfarm

As I have previously stated, the costs claimed by Exxon for the Old Silt Pond and Rice Paddy Landfarm are costs for closure of these units for their use during the 1970s and 1980s as major waste impoundments at the refinery. And even if it is assumed that waste from prior years may have been present within the footprint of these units, the evidence shows that there was no use of these units as active waste impoundments until after WW II ended. In light of these facts, in my opinion there is no basis to assign an allocation share to the United States for these units. Attachment 5 includes a 10% relevant fact adjustment multiplier against the Base Case Baton Rouge allocation percentage if the Court determines that more than a 0% or minimal share should be allocated to the United States for this unit.

G. Baton Rouge -- Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation Site Assessments Soil Remediation

Exxon has provided insufficient detail on the breakdown of these costs. These three cost components are designated as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon expert reports. Other than simply applying a Base Case percentage for these costs, Exxon has not indicated why the United States should be allocated any share. Attachment 5 includes a 50% relevant fact adjustment multiplier against the Base Case allocation percentage for these costs if the Court determines that more than a 0% or minimal share should be allocated to the United States for this unit.

H. Baton Rouge -- Miscellaneous/No Description

Exxon has provided no detail on what Miscellaneous/No Description costs encompass. Other than simply applying a Base Case percentage for these costs, Exxon has not indicated why the United States should be allocated any share. Attachment 5 includes a 20% relevant fact adjustment multiplier against the Base Case allocation percentage for these costs if the Court determines that more than a 0% or minimal share should be allocated to the United States for this unit.

IV. CONCLUSION

While Attachments 3, for Baytown, and 5, for Baton Rouge contain inputs that I believe are appropriate, I reserve the right to revise these inputs based on testimony and other evidence presented at trial



Matthew Low

Attachment 1 -- Documents Relied Upon for February 2, 2020 Supplemental Report

1. Revised RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan for Twenty-Two Solid Waste Management Units, June 15, 1998. ERM. BAYTECH-00003336, at 3472. The timeframe during which butyl rubber was disposed is not indicated.
2. July 2011 Remedial Action Completion Report, BAYTECH-00179486 – 179489, 00179500
3. November 1998 Arthur D. Little study BAYTECH-00045469.
4. September 2003 Addendum 5 to Phase I/II RCRA Facility Investigation Report for SWMU 60 BAYTECH-00007489.
5. BC Groundwater Tank farm 3000 Remediation Update, BTRF/BTCP Allocation Update, 6/20/95. BAYC-00051445; BAYTECH-00045798.
6. Humble 1946 Annual Report. MIS-00026521.
7. 2/2/1993 AES Phase III Subsurface Investigation of Tank Farm 3000 and adjacent areas. Exxon Chemical Americas, Baytown Chemical Plant, BAYTECH-00027105
8. Humble 1953 Annual Report. MIS-00008371.
9. November 28, 1994 Exxon Research and Engineering Company Interoffice Note: Characterization of Hydrocarbon Samples Recovered from Underground Wells, BAYTECH-00045802, 807, 808.
10. November 7, 1988 Revised Closure Plan for South Landfarm, BAYTECH-00010217.
11. February 17, 1984 Partial Closure Plan for Separator 3M, BAYTECH 00013407
12. January 20, 1985 Closure Plans for Spill Basin, Separator 3M (North), Separator 3A and South Landfarm, BAYTECH-00095556,
13. Invoice BAYTECH-00126137
14. Invoice BAYTECH-00125872.
15. September 9, 1985 CATH 13395X Clean and Close Separator 3M, BAYTECH-00125079-80).
16. January 20, 1985 Closure Plans for Spill Basin, Separator 3M (North), Separator 3A and South Landfarm, BAYTECH-00095556,

STEP 1 -- Assigning Response Cost Percentages to Years

Waste Adjustment Multipliers		
White Average Assumed Waste Reductions for Periods Noted		
61.92%	Period 1950-1954	White Average Multipliers Against Credits for Periods Noted
89.32%	1955-1960	35.09%
89.32%	1961-1969	10.68%
97.00%	1970-1979	3.00%
97.45%	1980-1985	3.00%
		2.55%

	NO	NO (White) -- Years after 1970 not Included; YES (Low) -- Years 1971-1985 Included
Include Post-1970 crude throughput in BOW Allocation		

STEP 2 -- Determining Portion of Costs (Percentages) Associated with Periods of Federal Involvement Attributable to War Products

Refinery Allocation		Ordnance Works Allocation (Tank Farm 3000)	
% Crude attributed to 100 Octane AVGAS WW II	100%	% Crude attributed to Ordnance Works WWII	100%
% Crude attributed to Other War Products WW II	0%		
% Crude attributed to AVGAS/War Products Korean War	100%	% Crude attributed to Ordnance Works Korean War	100%

Average % Crude attributed to Plancors 1942-1943	0%
Average % Crude attributed to Plancors 1944-1955	10%
Average % Crude attributed to ROW 1941b	7.5%
Average % Crude attributed to ROW 1942-1945a	25%

White Delay Factor	IN
Percent of Delay Factor IN if 100% White Delay Factor is OUT	0.00%

Step 3 -- Equitable Division of Wartime Related Costs

Company	Equity Division of Returns Received	Refinery Allocation	Ordinance Works Allocation (Tank Farm 3000)
U.S. Share of 100 Octane AVGAS 1942-1945a	100%	U.S. Share of 100 Octane AVGAS 1942-1945a (Contract)	U.S. Share of Ordnance Works 1942-1945a
	40%	U.S. Share of AVGAS 1941b (Pre-Contract) 1945b (Post-Contract)	U.S. Share of Ordnance Works 1945b
	40%	U.S. Share of Other War Products 1941b-1945a	
U.S. Share of AVGAS Korean War	40%	U.S. Share of AVGAS Korean War	U.S. Share of Ordnance Works Korean War
U.S. Share of Plincor and BOW Contribution 1942-1945a	100%	U.S. Share of Plincor and BOW Contribution 1942-1945a	
U.S. Share of Plincor and BOW contribution 1941b, 1945a-1955	60%	U.S. Share of Plincor and BOW contribution 1941b, 1945a-1955	

Step 3A -- Equitable Cost Adjustments to take into account facts relevant to certain cost units (No Adjustments in White Allocation)

Cost Unit	% Multiplier	Notes
South Landfarm	100%	Only approximately 18% of waste in the landfill is from Separator 3M and 10 cleanup and possibly related to the period of U.S. involvement. Calculation arguably should multiply 18% times the allocation % for the Separator 3M and 10 Cleanup
Canals	100%	Low's expert report builds in additional 50% waste reduction for canals -- adds approximately 40% to allocation percentage for this cost unit.
SWMU Investigation	100%	Approximately 50% of the investigation costs are for units that are in operation during the period of U.S. involvement.
Mitchell Point - SWMU 60	100%	Most of the activities generating waste in this unit appear to post-date WWII, including landfarming from 1957-73 (a accounting for 8,000 cu. yds. of oily sludge)
Tandfarm 3000 (Contribution from Ordnance Works and Post-1946 Expanded Facility)	100%	Exxon internal allocation is 58% from Refinery and 42% from Chemical Plant. Based on plume characterization analysis by Exxon's contractor, at least 29% of the plume contaminants originated from Chemical Plant units placed into operation after Exxon took over ownership and operation. Thus, only 71% could possibly
Tandfarm 3000 (Contribution from Refinery)	0%	from other Chemical Plant units, including, possibly, original Ordnance Works

RESULTS SUMMARY

	RELEVANT FACTS	RELEVANT FACTS	TOTAL
Base United States Allocation % Before Relevant Facts Cost Adjustments	29.67%	36.51%	
Base United States Share Before Relevant Facts Cost Adjustment	\$15,786,172	\$2,400,233	\$18,186,404
United States Allocation % After Relevant Facts Cost Adjustments	29.67%	36.51%	
United States Share After Relevant Facts Cost Adjustments	\$15,786,172	\$2,400,233	\$18,186,404

Step 1 Results for Ordnance Works (Tank Farm 3000 Plume) Allocation with Multiplier Inputs		
	Allocation Period	% of Costs Assigned
	1928-1941a	0.00%
	1941b-1945a	29.33%
	1945b-1955	61.40%
	1956-1985	9.27%
		100.00%

Step 1 Results for Refinery Allocation with Multiplier Inputs	
Allocation Period	% of Costs Assigned
1928-1941a	41.31%
1941b-1945a	17.22%
1945b-1955	32.07%
1956-1985	9.40%
	100.00%

SUMMARY OF COSTS AND RELEVANT FACT ADJUSTMENTS

Existing Units	YEARS	BASE ALLOCATION %	ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS AND MULTIPLIERS FOR Relevant Facts	ADJUSTED U.S. ALLOCATION %	EXXON CLAIMED COSTS (INCLUDING INTEREST)	U.S. SHARE (\$) BASED ON EXXON CLAIMED COSTS
Separator 3M and Separator 10 Cleanup	1928-1985	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$5,598,758	\$1,661,321
South Landfarm Cleanup	1928-1985	29.67%	Only Approximately 18% of waste in landfarm is from Separator 3M and 10 cleanup and possibly related to the period of U.S. involvement. Calculation arguably should multiply 18% times the allocation % for the Separator 3M and 10 Cleanup	29.67%	\$2,026,013	\$601,179
General Canals and Separators Cleanup	1928-1995	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$13,073,564	\$3,879,321
Investigation of SWMU's	1931-1959	29.67%	Approximately 50% of the investigation costs are for units that were not in operation during the period of U.S. involvement.	29.67%	\$6,034,486	\$1,790,614
Refinery Groundwater	1928-1995	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$8,742,390	\$2,594,131
Main Office Building (MOB) SWMU 62	1921-1970	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$128,579	\$38,153
Facility Operations Area (FOA)	1928-2011	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$8,668,306	\$2,572,148
Mitchell Point – SWMU 60	1928-1992	29.67%	Most of the activities generating waste in this unit appear to post-date WWII, including landfarming from 1957-73 (accounting for 8,000 cu. yds. of oily sludges)	29.67%	\$6,470,671	\$1,920,044
Velasco Street Ditch --	1928-1993	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$2,299,077	\$682,206
PRP Investigation	1928-2011	29.67%	100.00%	29.67%	\$158,577	\$47,055
					\$53,200,421	\$15,786,172
						29.67%

	BASE ALLOCATION %	Exxon Internal Allocation Multiplier	Multiplier to Adjust for Contribution from Post-US Involvement Units	Adjusted Allocation	EXXON CLAIMED COSTS (INCLUDING INTEREST)	U.S. SHARE (\$) BASED ON EXXON CLAIMED COSTS
Former Ordnance Works Groundwater Plume (Contribution from Ordnance Works and Post-1946 Expanded Facility)	36.51%	100%	100%	36.51%		
Former Ordnance Works Groundwater Plume (Contribution from Refinery)	29.67%	0%	N/A	0.00%		
			Total	36.51%	\$6,574,910	\$2,400,232.52

\$59,775,331

SUMMARY AND MULTIPLIERS WORKSHEET

REFINERY ALLOCATION -- "INTER-CLASS" (Degree of Involvement) MULTIPLIERS AND % CRUDE THROUGHPUT COUNTED FOR WW II AND KOREAN WAR AVGAS AND OTHER WAR PRODUCTS

BOW ALLOCATION

	Refinery Weight	% of Barrel of Crude Allocated -- Other War Products	U.S. "Inter-class" Share AVGAS	U.S. "Inter-class" Share-- Other War Products	Plancos Weight	U.S. "Inter-class" Plancos	BOW Weight	U.S. "Inter-class" Share-- BOW		U.S. "Inter-class" Share AVGAS -- BOW	Plancos Weight BOW	BOW WEIGHT FOR BOW ALLOCATION
1941b	92.50%	100.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	7.50%	60%	1941b	60%	0%	100.00%
1942-1943	75.00%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	25.00%	100%	1942-1943	100%	0%	100%
1944-1945a	65.00%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	10.00%	100.00%	25.00%	100%	1944-1945a	100%	0%	100%
1945b-1950a	90.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	60.00%	0.00%		1945b-1946	0%	0%	100.00%
1950b-1953a	90.00%	100.00%	40.00%	0.00%	10.00%	60.00%			1950b-1953a	0%	0%	100.00%
1953b-1954	90.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	60.00%				0%	0%	100.00%
1955	95.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	60.00%			U.S. Allocation %	0%	0%	100.00%
									Delay Component %			36.51%
												29.67%

Waste Reduction Multipliers

	Production Efficiency	Pre-Separator	RCRA		White	White Combined	Low
1950	71%	100%	100%	71%	100%	71.00%	100%
1951	41%	100%	100%	41%	100%	41.00%	100%
1952	39%	70%	100%	39%	100%	27.30%	100%
1953	37%	70%	100%	37%	70%	100%	100%
1954	36%	70%	100%	36%	70%	100%	100%
1955	34%	40%	100%	34%	40%	13.60%	100%
1956	32%	40%	100%	32%	40%	12.80%	100%
1957	30%	40%	100%	30%	40%	100%	100%
1958	30%	40%	100%	30%	40%	12.00%	100%
1959	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	100%	100%
1960	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1961	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1962	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1963	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1964	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1965	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1966	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1967	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1968	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1969	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1970	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1971	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1972	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1973	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1974	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1975	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1976	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1977	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1978	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1979	30%	10%	100%	30%	10%	3.00%	100%
1980	30%	10%	85%	30%	10%	2.55%	100%
1981	30%	10%	85%	30%	10%	2.55%	100%
1982	30%	10%	85%	30%	10%	2.55%	100%
1983	30%	10%	85%	30%	10%	2.55%	100%
1984	30%	10%	85%	30%	10%	2.55%	100%
1985	30%	10%	85%	30%	10%	2.55%	100%

DELAY COMPONENT MULTIPLIERS

(For Easy Substitution to Zero Out Delay Factor)

1945b	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954
5%	15%	25%	35%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%
35%	25%	15%	5%	0%					

(For Easy Substitution to Zero Out Delay Factor)

1945b	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1955	1956	1957	1958	1959					
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					

Calculation of Average U.S. Degree of Involvement for Use in Delay Component

	Yearly %	% of War Years	Degree of Involvement	Calculation of Average WWII Degree of Involvement
1942	4.14%	27.61%	100.00%	27.61%
1943	3.91%	26.12%	100.00%	26.12%
1944	4.25%	28.36%	100.00%	28.36%
1945a	2.68%	17.91%	100.00%	17.91%
	14.99%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

No U.S. Liability

WHITE -- "INTRA-CLASS" ALLOCATION, "FEDERAL" PERIOD																		
LOW "DEGREE OF INVOLVEMENT," "U.S. INVOLVEMENT" PERIOD																		
	Refinery Throughput (bbl/day)	% of Crude Allocated - "Inter-class" Component	% of Barrel Allocated - "Inter-class" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component	U.S. "Inter-class" Allocation - "Other Year" Component
1921	36,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1922	72,000,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1923	144,000,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1924	182,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1925	228,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1926	273,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1927	319,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1928	365,000,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1929	410,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1930	456,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1931	501,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1932	547,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1933	593,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1934	638,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1935	684,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1936	729,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1937	775,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1938	821,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1939	866,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1940	912,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1941	958,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1942	1,003,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1943	1,049,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1944	1,095,000,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1945	1,140,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1946	1,186,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1947	1,231,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1948	1,277,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1949	1,323,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1950	1,368,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1951	1,414,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1952	1,459,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1953	1,505,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1954	1,551,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1955	1,596,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1956	1,642,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1957	1,687,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1958	1,733,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1959	1,778,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1960	1,824,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1961	1,870,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1962	1,915,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1963	1,961,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1964	2,006,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1965	2,052,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1966	2,097,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1967	2,143,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1968	2,188,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1969	2,234,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1970	2,280,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1971	2,325,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1972	2,371,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1973	2,416,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1974	2,462,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1975	2,507,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1976	2,553,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1977	2,599,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1978	2,644,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1979	2,690,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1980	2,735,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1981	2,781,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1982	2,826,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1983	2,872,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1984	2,917,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1985	2,963,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1986	3,009,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1987	3,054,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1988	1																	

White Actual
36.54%

No U.S. Liability

WASTE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS DERIVED FROM TIME OF USE ALLOCATION**BAYTOWN**

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers for Each Barrel of Crude Oil Throughput		
			Low	Suggested	White
1945	65,700,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	94,900,000	144%	69.23%	70.00%	71.00%
1955	111,325,000	169%	59.02%	60.00%	13.60%
1960	106,689,500	162%	61.58%	60.00%	3.00%
1965	100,375,000	153%	65.45%	65.00%	3.00%
1970	125,925,000	192%	52.17%	50.00%	3.00%
1975	146,000,000	222%	45.00%	45.00%	3.00%
1980	233,600,000	356%	28.13%	25.00%	2.55%
			54.37%		14.16%

BATON ROUGE

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers of Each Barrel of crude Oil Throughput		
			Low	Suggested	White
1945	47,450,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	89,425,000	188%	73.47%	70.00%	39.00%
1955	119,209,000	251%	55.11%	55.00%	39.00%
1960	133,225,000	281%	49.32%	50.00%	21.45%
1965	132,130,000	278%	49.72%	50.00%	9.75%
1970	158,410,000	334%	41.47%	40.00%	6.63%
1975	162,425,000	342%	40.45%	40.00%	0.78%
1980	182,500,000	385%	36.00%	35.00%	0.66%
			49.36%		13.05%

Waste Adjustment Multipliers		Low Average Assumed Waste Reductions for Periods Netted		Low Multipliers Against Crude for Periods Noted	Control Shifts Brings Low Waste Adjustment Multipliers into Worksheets
		30.00%	1950-1964	70%	
		45.00%	1965-1969	55%	
		50.00%	1970-1979	50%	
		60.00%	1980-1985	40%	
		65.00%		35%	

STEP 2 -- Determining Portion of Costs (Percentages) Associated with Periods of Federal Involvement Attributable to War Products

Refinery Allocation		Ordnance Works Allocation (Tank Farm 3000)	
% Crude attributed to 100 Octane AVGAS WW II	7%	% Crude attributed to Ordnance Works WWII	100%
% Crude attributed to Other War Products WW II	22%		
% Crude attributed to AVGAS/War Products Korean War	1%	% Crude attributed to Ordnance Works Korean War	100%

White Delay Factor	OUT
Percent of Delay Factor IN if 100% White Delay Factor is OUT	0.00%

[illegible]

Cost Unit	% Multiplier				
South Landfarm	18%	Only approximately 18% of waste in landfarm is from Separator 3M and 10 cleanup and possibly related to the period of U.S. involvement. Calculation arguably should multiply 18% times the allocation % for the Separator 3M and 10 Cleanup			
Canals	140%	Low's expert report builds in additional 50% waste reduction for canals -- adds approximately 40% to allocation percentage for this cost unit.			
SWMU Investigation	20%	Approximately 50% of the investigation costs are for units that were not in operation during the period of U.S. involvement.			
Mitchell Point – SWMU 60	20%	Most of the activities generating waste in this unit appear to post-date WWII, including landfarming from 1957-73 (accounting for 8,000 cu. yds. of oily sludges)			
Landfarm 3000 (Contribution from Ordnance Works and Post-1946 Expanded Facility)	42%	13%	Exxon internal allocation is 58% from Refinery and 42% from Chemical Plant. Based on plume characterization analysis by Exxon's contractor, at least 29% of the plume contaminants originated from Chemical Plant units placed into operation after Exxon took over ownership and operation. Thus, only 71% could possibly		
Landfarm 3000 (Contribution from Refinery)	58%	from other Chemical Plant units, including, possibly, original Ordnance Works			

RESULTS SUMMARY		
Base United States Allocation % Before Relevant Facts	Refinery Units	BOW
Cost Adjustments	2.38%	6.02%
		TOTAL

Base United States Share Before Relevant Facts Cost Adjustment	\$1,267,328	\$396,018	\$1,663,345
United States Allocation % After Relevant Facts Cost Adjustments	2.18%	1.71%	
United States Share After Relevant Facts Cost Adjustments	\$1,157,136	\$112,466	\$1,269,601

SUMMARY OF COSTS AND RELEVANT FACT ADJUSTMENTS

Existing Units	YEARS	BASE ALLOCATION %	ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS AND MULTIPLIERS FOR Relevant Facts	ADJUSTED U.S. ALLOCATION %	EXXON CLAIMED COSTS (INCLUDING INTEREST)	U.S. SHARE (\$) BASED ON EXXON CLAIMED COSTS
Separator 3M and Separator 10 Cleanup	1928-1985	2.38%	100.00%	2.38%	\$5,598,758	\$133,372
South Landfarm Cleanup	1928-1985	2.38%	Only Approximately 18% of waste in landfarm is from Separator 3M and 10 cleanup and possibly related to the period of U.S. involvement. Calculation arguably should multiply 18% times the allocation % for the Separator 3M and 10 Cleanup	0.43%	\$2,026,013	\$8,687
General Canals and Separators Cleanup	1928-1995	2.38%	140.00%	3.34%	\$13,073,564	\$436,009
Investigation of SWMU's	1931-1959	2.38%	Approximately 50% of the investigation costs are for units that were not in operation during the period of U.S. involvement.	1.19%	\$6,034,486	\$71,876
Refinery Groundwater	1928-1995	2.38%	100.00%	2.38%	\$8,742,390	\$208,259
Main Office Building (MOB) SWMU 62	1921-1970	2.38%	100.00%	2.38%	\$128,579	\$3,063
Facility Operations Area (FOA)	1928-2011	2.38%	100.00%	2.38%	\$8,668,306	\$206,494
Mitchell Point – SWMU 60	1928-1992	2.38%	Most of the activities generating waste in this unit appear to post-date WWII, including landfarming from 1957-73 (accounting for 8,000 cu. yds. of oily sludges)	0.48%	\$6,470,671	\$30,829
Velasco Street Ditch --	1928-1993	2.38%	100.00%	2.38%	\$2,299,077	\$54,768
PRP Investigation	1928-2011	2.38%	100.00%	2.38%	\$158,577	\$3,778
					\$53,200,421	\$1,157,136
						2.18%

	BASE ALLOCATION %	Exxon Internal Allocation Multiplier	Multiplier to Adjust for Contribution from Post-US Involvement Units	Adjusted Allocation	EXXON CLAIMED COSTS (INCLUDING INTEREST)	U.S. SHARE (\$) BASED ON EXXON CLAIMED COSTS
Former Ordnance Works Groundwater Plume (Contribution from Ordnance Works and Post-1946 Expanded Facility)	6.02%	42%	13%	0.33%		
Former Ordnance Works Groundwater Plume (Contribution from Refinery)	2.38%	58%	N/A	1.38%		
			Total	1.71%	\$6,574,910	\$112,465.61

\$59,775,331

SUMMARY AND MULTIPLIERS WORKSHEET

REFINERY ALLOCATION -- "INTER-CLASS" (Degree of Involvement) MULTIPLIERS AND % CRUDE THROUGHPUT COUNTED FOR WW II AND KOREAN WAR AVGAS AND OTHER WAR PRODUCTS

BOW ALLOCATION

	Refinery Weight	% of Barrel of Crude Allocated -- Other War Products	U.S. "Inter-class" Share -- AVGAS	U.S. "Inter-class" Share -- Other War Products	Plancors Weight	U.S. "Inter-class" Plancors	BOW Weight	U.S. "Inter-class" Share -- BOW	
1941b	100.00%	7.00%	40.00%	40.00%	0.00%	62.50%	0.00%	63%	1941b
1942-1943	93.00%	7.00%	100.00%	40.00%	7.00%	62.50%	0.00%	63%	1942-1943
1944-1945a	93.00%	7.00%	100.00%	40.00%	7.00%	62.50%	0.00%	63%	1944-1945a
1945b-1950a	93.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.00%	62.50%	0.00%	63%	1945b-1946
1950b-1953a	93.00%	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.00%	62.50%	0.00%	0%	1950b-1953a
1953b-1954	93.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.00%	62.50%	0.00%	0%	1953b-1954
1955	95.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	62.50%	0.00%	0%	1955

Waste Reduction Multipliers

	Production Efficiency	Pre-Separator	RCRA	White	White Combined	Low
1950	70%	100%	100%	71%	71.00%	100%
1951	70%	100%	100%	41%	100%	100%
1952	70%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%
1953	70%	100%	100%	37%	100%	100%
1954	70%	100%	100%	36%	100%	100%
1955	55%	100%	100%	34%	100%	100%
1956	55%	100%	100%	32%	100%	100%
1957	55%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1958	55%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1959	55%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1960	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1961	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1962	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1963	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1964	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1965	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1966	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1967	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1968	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1969	50%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1970	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1971	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1972	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1973	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1974	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1975	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1976	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1977	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1978	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1979	40%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1980	35%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1981	35%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1982	35%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1983	35%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1984	35%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%
1985	35%	100%	100%	30%	100%	100%

DELAY COMPONENT MULTIPLIERS

(For Easy Substitution to Zero Out Delay Factor)

1945b	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954
5%	15%	25%	35%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%
35%	25%	15%	5%	0%					

(For Easy Substitution to Zero Out Delay Factor)

1945b	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Calculation of Average U.S. Degree of Involvement for Use in Delay Component

	Yearly %	% of War Years	Degree of Involvement	Calculation of Average WWII Degree of Involvement
1942	1.91%	27.61%	19.07%	5.27%
1943	1.81%	26.12%	19.07%	4.98%
1944	1.97%	28.36%	19.07%	5.41%
1945a	1.24%	17.91%	19.07%	3.42%
	6.93%	100.00%	19.07%	19.07%

No U.S. Liability

No U.S. Liability

Year	Throughput to Be Spent	WHITE - INTRALINK ALLOCATION			LOW - CONVENTIONAL			LOSS IMPACT		
		Waves Efficiency	Pre-Separator	Evap Ponds	RCRA Factor	Adjusted Throughput	Yield - Crates	Period	Period	
1941	3,600,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	3,600,000	1.00%			
1942	47,225,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	47,225,000	1.00%			
1943	69,320,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	69,320,000	1.00%			
1944	10,600,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	10,600,000	0.31%			
1945	14,600,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	14,600,000	0.41%			
1946	18,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	18,250,000	0.55%			
1947	22,812,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	22,812,000	0.65%			
1948	27,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	27,375,000	0.76%			
1949	31,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	31,937,500	0.87%			
1950	36,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	36,500,000	0.98%			
1951	41,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	41,062,500	1.09%			
1952	45,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	45,625,000	1.20%			
1953	50,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	50,187,500	1.31%			
1954	54,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	54,750,000	1.42%			
1955	59,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	59,312,500	1.53%			
1956	63,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	63,875,000	1.64%			
1957	68,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	68,437,500	1.75%			
1958	72,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	72,999,999	1.86%			
1959	77,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	77,562,500	1.97%			
1960	82,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	82,125,000	2.08%			
1961	86,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	86,687,500	2.19%			
1962	91,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	91,250,000	2.30%			
1963	95,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	95,812,500	2.41%			
1964	100,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	100,375,000	2.52%			
1965	104,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	104,937,500	2.63%			
1966	109,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	109,500,000	2.74%			
1967	114,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	114,062,500	2.85%			
1968	118,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	118,625,000	2.96%			
1969	123,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	123,187,500	3.07%			
1970	127,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	127,750,000	3.18%			
1971	132,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	132,312,500	3.29%			
1972	136,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	136,875,000	3.40%			
1973	141,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	141,437,500	3.51%			
1974	145,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	145,999,999	3.62%			
1975	150,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	150,562,500	3.73%			
1976	155,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	155,125,000	3.84%			
1977	159,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	159,687,500	3.95%			
1978	164,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	164,250,000	4.06%			
1979	168,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	168,812,500	4.17%			
1980	173,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	173,375,000	4.28%			
1981	177,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	177,937,500	4.39%			
1982	182,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	182,500,000	4.50%			
1983	187,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	187,062,500	4.61%			
1984	191,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	191,625,000	4.72%			
1985	196,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	196,187,500	4.83%			
1986	200,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	200,750,000	4.94%			
1987	205,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	205,312,500	5.05%			
1988	209,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	209,875,000	5.16%			
1989	214,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	214,437,500	5.27%			
1990	218,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	218,999,999	5.38%			
1991	223,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	223,562,500	5.49%			
1992	228,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	228,125,000	5.60%			
1993	232,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	232,687,500	5.71%			
1994	237,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	237,250,000	5.82%			
1995	241,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	241,812,500	5.93%			
1996	246,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	246,375,000	6.04%			
1997	250,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	250,937,500	6.15%			
1998	255,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	255,500,000	6.26%			
1999	260,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	260,062,500	6.37%			
2000	264,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	264,625,000	6.48%			
2001	269,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	269,187,500	6.59%			
2002	273,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	273,750,000	6.70%			
2003	278,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	278,312,500	6.81%			
2004	282,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	282,875,000	6.92%			
2005	287,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	287,437,500	7.03%			
2006	291,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	291,999,999	7.14%			
2007	296,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	296,562,500	7.25%			
2008	301,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	301,125,000	7.36%			
2009	305,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	305,687,500	7.47%			
2010	310,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	310,250,000	7.58%			
2011	314,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	314,812,500	7.69%			
2012	319,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	319,375,000	7.80%			
2013	323,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	323,937,500	7.91%			
2014	328,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	328,500,000	8.02%			
2015	333,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	333,062,500	8.13%			
2016	337,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	337,625,000	8.24%			
2017	342,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	342,187,500	8.35%			
2018	346,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	346,750,000	8.46%			
2019	351,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	351,312,500	8.57%			
2020	355,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	355,875,000	8.68%			
2021	360,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	360,437,500	8.79%			
2022	364,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	364,999,999	8.90%			
2023	369,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	369,562,500	9.01%			
2024	374,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	374,125,000	9.12%			
2025	378,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	378,687,500	9.23%			
2026	383,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	383,250,000	9.34%			
2027	387,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	387,812,500	9.45%			
2028	392,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	392,375,000	9.56%			
2029	396,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	396,937,500	9.67%			
2030	401,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	401,500,000	9.78%			
2031	406,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	406,062,500	9.89%			
2032	410,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	410,625,000	10.00%			
2033	415,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	415,187,500	10.11%			
2034	419,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	419,750,000	10.22%			
2035	424,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	424,312,500	10.33%			
2036	428,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	428,875,000	10.44%			
2037	433,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	433,437,500	10.55%			
2038	437,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	437,999,999	10.66%			
2039	442,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	442,562,500	10.77%			
2040	447,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	447,125,000	10.88%			
2041	451,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	451,687,500	10.99%			
2042	456,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	456,250,000	11.10%			
2043	460,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	460,812,500	11.21%			
2044	465,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	465,375,000	11.32%			
2045	469,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	469,937,500	11.43%			
2046	474,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	474,500,000	11.54%			
2047	479,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	479,062,500	11.65%			
2048	483,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	483,625,000	11.76%			
2049	488,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	488,187,500	11.87%			
2050	492,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	492,750,000	11.98%			
2051	497,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	497,312,500	12.09%			
2052	501,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	501,875,000	12.20%			
2053	506,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	506,437,500	12.31%			
2054	510,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	510,999,999	12.42%			
2055	515,562,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	515,562,500	12.53%			
2056	520,125,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	520,125,000	12.64%			
2057	524,687,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	524,687,500	12.75%			
2058	529,250,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	529,250,000	12.86%			
2059	533,812,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	533,812,500	12.97%			
2060	538,375,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	538,375,000	13.08%			
2061	542,937,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	542,937,500	13.19%			
2062	547,500,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	547,500,000	13.30%			
2063	552,062,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	552,062,500	13.41%			
2064	556,625,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	556,625,000	13.52%			
2065	561,187,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	561,187,500	13.63%			
2066	565,750,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	565,750,000	13.74%			
2067	570,312,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	570,312,500	13.85%			
2068	574,875,000	100%	100%	100%	100%	574,875,000	13.96%			
2069	579,437,500	100%	100%	100%	100%	579,437,500	14.07%			
2070	583,999,999	100%	100%	100%	100%	583,999,999	14.18%			
2071	588,562,500	100%								

No U.S. Liability

WASTE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS DERIVED FROM TIME OF USE ALLOCATION**BAYTOWN**

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers for Each Barrel of Crude Oil Throughput		
			Low	Suggested	White
1945	65,700,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	94,900,000	144%	69.23%	70.00%	71.00%
1955	111,325,000	169%	59.02%	60.00%	13.60%
1960	106,689,500	162%	61.58%	60.00%	3.00%
1965	100,375,000	153%	65.45%	65.00%	3.00%
1970	125,925,000	192%	52.17%	50.00%	3.00%
1975	146,000,000	222%	45.00%	45.00%	3.00%
1980	233,600,000	356%	28.13%	25.00%	2.55%
			54.37%		14.16%

BATON ROUGE

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers of Each Barrel of crude Oil Throughput		
			Low	Suggested	White
1945	47,450,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	89,425,000	188%	73.47%	70.00%	39.00%
1955	119,209,000	251%	55.11%	55.00%	39.00%
1960	133,225,000	281%	49.32%	50.00%	21.45%
1965	132,130,000	278%	49.72%	50.00%	9.75%
1970	158,410,000	334%	41.47%	40.00%	6.63%
1975	162,425,000	342%	40.45%	40.00%	0.78%
1980	182,500,000	385%	36.00%	35.00%	0.66%
			49.36%		13.05%

STEP 1 -- Assigning Response Cost Percentages to Years

Waste Adjustment Multipliers

White Average Assumed Waste Reductions for Periods Noted	White Average Multipliers Against Crude for Periods Noted	Control Shift W Brings White Waste Adjustment Multipliers into Worksheets
56.09%	1949-1959	43.91%
88.65%	1960-1969	11.35%
98.32%	1970-1979	1.68%
99.34%	1980-1985	0.66%

Step 1 Results for Refinery Allocation with Waste Multiplier Inputs	
Allocation Period	% of Costs Assigned
1921-1941a	40.80%
1941b-1945a	10.30%
1945b-1955	27.85%
1956-1985	21.06%
100.00%	
1972-1985	
0.06%	

100.00%

0.06%

STEP 2 -- Determining Portion of Costs (Percentages) Associated with Periods of Federal Involvement Attributable to War Products

% Crude attributed to AVGAS WW II	100%
% Crude attributed to Other War Products	0%
% Crude attributed to AVGAS/War Products Korean War	100%

Average % Crude attributed to Plancors 1942-1955	0%
--	----

White Delay Factor IN (100%) or OUT (% Below)	IN
Percent of Delay Factor IN if 100% White Delay Factor is OUT	0.00%

Step 3 -- Equitable Division of Wartime Related Costs

U.S. Share of AVGAS 1943-1945a (Contract)	100%
U.S. Share of AVGAS 1941b (Pre-Contract)	40%
U.S. Share of AVGAS Korean War	40%
U.S. Share of Other War Products 1941b-1945a	40%

U.S. Share of Plancor Contribution	60%
------------------------------------	-----

Step 3A -- Equitable Cost Adjustments to take into account facts relevant to certain cost units (No Adjustments in White Allocation)

Cost Unit	% Multiplier	Description of Relevant Facts
Old Silt Pond	100%	
Old Silt Pond/Rice Paddy Landfarm	100%	Remediation of these units was to address waste from use during 1970s and 1980s. Earlier use of these areas for silt or waste disposal did not commence until after August 1945
Rice Paddy Landfarm	100%	
Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation Site Assessments	100%	Exon has provided insufficient detail on the breakdown of these costs. These three cost components designated as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon expert reports.
Soil Remediation	100%	
Miscellaneous/No Description	100%	Exon has provided no detail on what "Miscellaneous/No Description" costs encompass.

RESULTS SUMMARY

RESULTS SUMMARY		Refinery Units
Base United States Allocation % Before	Relevant Fact Cost Adjustments	19.39%
Base United States Share Before	Relevant Fact Cost Adjustments	\$5,384,593
United States Allocation % After Relevant	Fact Cost Adjustments	11.99%
United States Share After Relevant	Fact Cost Adjustments	\$3,330,212

SUMMARY OF COSTS AND RELEVANT FACT ADJUSTMENTS

	YEARS CONSIDERED	BASE ALLOCATION %	ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS AND MULTIPLIERS	ADJUSTED U.S. ALLOCATION %	EXXON CLAIMED COSTS (INCLUDING INTEREST)	U.S. SHARE (\$) BASED ON EXXON CLAIMED COSTS
Old Silt Pond	1946-1959; 1974-1988	19.39%	Remediation of these units was to address waste from use during 1970s and 1980s.	19.39%	\$10,594,460	\$2,054,381
Old Silt Pond/Rice Paddy Landfarm	1946-1988	19.39%	Earlier use of these areas for silt or waste disposal did not commence until after August 1945	19.39%	\$3,506,336	\$679,917
Rice Paddy Landfarm	1946-1988	19.39%		19.39%	\$4,908,324	\$951,777
Shallow Fill Zone	1941-1988	19.39%		19.39%	\$5,380,522	\$1,043,342
Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation	Referred to as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon Expert Reports -- Assume average period of 1922-1985	19.39%	Exxon has provided insufficient detail on the breakdown of these costs. These three cost components designated as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon expert reports.	19.39%	\$1,001,685	\$194,238
Site Assessments		19.39%		19.39%	\$455,252	\$88,278
Soil Remediation		19.39%		19.39%	\$820,590	\$159,121
Miscellaneous/No Description	Unknown	19.39%	Exxon has provided no detail on what Miscellaneous/No Description costs encompass.	10.00%	\$942,644	\$182,789
PRP Costs		19.39%		19.39%	\$158,577	\$30,750
					\$27,768,390	\$3,330,212
						11.99%

SUMMARY AND MULTIPLIERS WORKSHEET

[illegible]

Waste Reduction Multipliers									
1940s Program		1960s Program		RCRA		White Combined		Variable	
1949	66%	100%	100%	66%	100%	100%	66.00%	50%	100%
1950	66%	100%	100%	66%	100%	100%	66.00%	50%	100%
1951	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1952	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1953	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1954	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1955	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1956	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1957	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1958	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1959	39%	100%	100%	39%	100%	100%	39.00%	50%	100%
1960	39%	55%	100%	39%	55%	100%	21.45%	35%	100%
1961	39%	33%	100%	39%	33%	100%	12.87%	35%	100%
1962	39%	28%	100%	39%	28%	100%	10.92%	35%	100%
1963	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1964	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1965	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1966	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1967	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1968	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1969	39%	25%	100%	39%	25%	100%	9.75%	35%	100%
1970	39%	17%	100%	39%	17%	100%	6.63%	25%	100%
1971	39%	10%	100%	39%	10%	100%	3.90%	25%	100%
1972	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1973	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1974	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1975	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1976	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1977	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1978	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1979	39%	2%	100%	39%	2%	100%	0.78%	25%	100%
1980	39%	2%	85%	39%	2%	85%	0.66%	20%	100%
1981	39%	2%	85%	39%	2%	85%	0.66%	20%	100%
1982	39%	2%	85%	39%	2%	85%	0.66%	20%	100%
1983	39%	2%	85%	39%	2%	85%	0.66%	20%	100%
1984	39%	2%	85%	39%	2%	85%	0.66%	20%	100%
1985	39%	2%	85%	39%	2%	85%	0.66%	20%	100%

[illegible]

Calculation of Average U.S. Degree of Involvement for Use in Delay Component			
		Degree of Involvement	Calculation of Average WWII Degree of Involvement
	Yearly %	% of War Years	
1942	2.36%	26.28%	76.28%
1943	2.36%	26.28%	76.28%
1944	2.56%	28.47%	28.47%
1945a	1.71%	18.98%	18.98%
	8.99%	100.00%	100.00%

</

BAYTOWN

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers for Each Barrel of Crude Oil Throughput		
			Low		White
1945	65,700,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	94,900,000	144%	69.23%	70.00%	71.00%
1955	111,325,000	169%	59.02%	60.00%	13.60%
1960	106,689,500	162%	61.58%	60.00%	3.00%
1965	100,375,000	153%	65.45%	65.00%	3.00%
1970	125,925,000	192%	52.17%	50.00%	3.00%
1975	146,000,000	222%	45.00%	45.00%	3.00%
1980	233,600,000	356%	28.13%	25.00%	2.55%

54.37%

14.16%

BATON ROUGE

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers of Each Barrel of crude Oil Throughput		
			Low		White
1945	47,450,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	89,425,000	188%	73.47%	70.00%	39.00%
1955	119,209,000	251%	55.11%	55.00%	39.00%
1960	133,225,000	281%	49.32%	50.00%	21.45%
1965	132,130,000	278%	49.72%	50.00%	9.75%
1970	158,410,000	334%	41.47%	40.00%	6.63%
1975	162,425,000	342%	40.45%	40.00%	0.78%
1980	182,500,000	385%	36.00%	35.00%	0.66%

49.36%

13.05%

STEP 1 -- Assigning Response Cost Percentages to Years

Waste Adjustment Multipliers

Step 1 Results for Refinery Allocation with Waste Multiplier Inputs	
Allocation Period	% of Costs Assigned
1921-1941 a	20.36%
1941b-1945a	5.14%
1945b-1955	18.40%
1956-1985	56.10%

Low Average Assumed Waste Reductions for Periods Noted	Period	Low Multipliers Against Crude for Periods Noted	Control Shift L Brings Low Waste Adjustment Multipliers into Worksheets
30.00%	1949-1959	70%	
50.00%	1960-1969	50%	
60.00%	1970-1979	40%	
65.00%	1980-1985	35%	

STEP 2 -- Determining Portion of Costs (Percentages) Associated with Periods of Federal Involvement Attributable to War Products

% Crude attributed to AVGAS WW II	9.5%
% Crude attributed to Other War Products	25%
% Crude attributed to AVGAS/War Products Korean War	1%

Average % Crude attributed to Plancors 1942-1955	2%
--	----

White Delay Factor IN (100%) or OUT (% Below)	OUT	
Percent of Delay Factor IN if 100% White Delay Factor is OUT	0.00%	

Step 3 -- Equitable Division of Wartime Related Costs

U.S. Share of AVGAS 1943-1945a (Contract)	100%
U.S. Share of AVGAS 1941b (Pre- Contract)	40%
U.S. Share of AVGAS Korean War	0%
U.S. Share of Other War Products 1941b-1945a	40%

U.S. Share of Plancor Contribution	62.5%
------------------------------------	-------

Step 3A -- Equitable Cost Adjustments to take into account facts relevant to certain cost units (No Adjustments in White Allocation)

Cost Unit	% Multiplier	Description of Relevant Facts
Old Silt Pond	10%	
Old Silt Pond/Rice Paddy Landfarm	10%	Remediation of these units was to address waste from use during 1970s and 1980s. Earlier use of these areas for silt or waste disposal did not commence until after August 1945
Rice Paddy Landfarm	10%	
Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation Site Assessments	50%	
	50%	Exxon has provided insufficient detail on the breakdown of these costs. These three cost components designated as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon expert reports.
Soil Remediation	50%	
Miscellaneous/No Description	20%	Exxon has provided no detail on what "Miscellaneous/No Description" costs encompass.

RESULTS SUMMARY

RESULTS SUMMARY		WHITE
Base United States Allocation % Before	Refinery Units	
Relevant Fact Cost Adjustments		1.19%
Base United States Share Before		
Relevant Fact Cost Adjustments		\$331,133
United States Allocation % After Relevant		
Fact Cost Adjustments		0.36%
United States Share After Relevant		
Fact Cost Adjustments		\$100,907

SUMMARY OF COSTS AND RELEVANT FACT ADJUSTMENTS

	YEARS CONSIDERED	BASE ALLOCATION %	ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS AND MULTIPLIERS	ADJUSTED U.S. ALLOCATION %	EXXON CLAIMED COSTS (INCLUDING INTEREST)	U.S. SHARE (\$) BASED ON EXXON CLAIMED COSTS
Old Silt Pond	1946-1959; 1974-1988	1.19%	Remediation of these units was to address waste from use during 1970s and 1980s. Earlier use of these areas for silt or waste disposal did not commence until after August 1945	0.12%	\$10,594,460	\$12,634
Old Silt Pond/Rice Paddy Landfarm	1946-1988	1.19%		0.12%	\$3,506,336	\$4,181
Rice Paddy Landfarm	1946-1988	1.19%		0.12%	\$4,908,324	\$5,853
Shallow Fill Zone	1941-1988	1.19%	Exxon has provided insufficient detail on the breakdown of these costs. These three cost components designated as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon expert reports.	1.19%	\$5,380,522	\$64,162
Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation	Referred to as "Solid Waste Management Investigation/Remediation and Other Areas of Contamination" in Exxon Expert Reports -- Assume average period of 1922-1985	1.19%		0.60%	\$1,001,685	\$5,972
Site Assessments		1.19%		0.60%	\$455,252	\$2,714
Soil Remediation		1.19%		0.60%	\$820,590	\$4,893
Miscellaneous/No Description	Unknown	1.19%	Exxon has provided no detail on what Miscellaneous/No Description costs encompass.	10.00%	\$942,644	\$11,241
PRP Costs		1.19%		1.19%	\$158,577	\$1,891
					\$27,768,390	\$100,907
						0.36%

SUMMARY AND MULTIPLIERS WORKSHEET

REFINERY ALLOCATION -- MR. WHITE'S "INTER-CLASS" MULTIPLIERS AND 100% CRUDE THROUGHPUT COUNTED FOR WW II AND KOREAN WAR

[illegible]

Waste Reduction Multipliers				White Combined				Variable			
1940s Program		RCRA		White		Variable		Low		High	
Year	Program	RCRA	White	Variable	Low	High	Variable	Low	High	Variable	Low
1949	66%	100%	66%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1950	70%	100%	66%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1951	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1952	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1953	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1954	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1955	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1956	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1957	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1958	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1959	70%	100%	39%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1960	50%	100%	39%	55%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1961	50%	100%	39%	33%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1962	50%	100%	39%	28%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1963	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1964	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1965	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1966	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1967	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1968	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1969	50%	100%	39%	25%	35%	100%	35%	100%	50%	100%	100%
1970	40%	100%	39%	17%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1971	40%	100%	39%	10%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1972	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1973	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1974	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1975	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1976	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1977	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1978	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1979	40%	100%	39%	2%	25%	100%	25%	100%	40%	100%	100%
1980	35%	100%	39%	2%	20%	100%	20%	100%	35%	100%	100%
1981	35%	100%	39%	2%	20%	100%	20%	100%	35%	100%	100%
1982	35%	100%	39%	2%	20%	100%	20%	100%	35%	100%	100%
1983	35%	100%	39%	2%	20%	100%	20%	100%	35%	100%	100%
1984	35%	100%	39%	2%	20%	100%	20%	100%	35%	100%	100%
1985	35%	100%	39%	2%	20%	100%	20%	100%	35%	100%	100%

[illegible]

Calculation of Average U.S. Degree of Involvement for Use in Delay Component			
		Degree of Involvement	Calculation of Average WWII Degree of Involvement
	Yearly %	% of War Years	
1942	1.18%	26.28%	5.45%
1943	1.18%	26.28%	5.45%
1944	1.28%	28.47%	5.91%
1945a	0.85%	18.98%	3.94%
	4.48%	100.00%	20.75%

[illegible]

BAYTOWN

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers for Each Barrel of Crude Oil Throughput		
			Low		White
1945	65,700,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	94,900,000	144%	69.23%	70.00%	71.00%
1955	111,325,000	169%	59.02%	60.00%	13.60%
1960	106,689,500	162%	61.58%	60.00%	3.00%
1965	100,375,000	153%	65.45%	65.00%	3.00%
1970	125,925,000	192%	52.17%	50.00%	3.00%
1975	146,000,000	222%	45.00%	45.00%	3.00%
1980	233,600,000	356%	28.13%	25.00%	2.55%

54.37%

14.16%

BATON ROUGE

Year	Crude Throughput Capacity	% Increase from 1945 Crude Throughput	Response Cost Impact Multipliers of Each Barrel of crude Oil Throughput		
			Low		White
1945	47,450,000		100.00%		100.00%
1950	89,425,000	188%	73.47%	70.00%	39.00%
1955	119,209,000	251%	55.11%	55.00%	39.00%
1960	133,225,000	281%	49.32%	50.00%	21.45%
1965	132,130,000	278%	49.72%	50.00%	9.75%
1970	158,410,000	334%	41.47%	40.00%	6.63%
1975	162,425,000	342%	40.45%	40.00%	0.78%
1980	182,500,000	385%	36.00%	35.00%	0.66%

49.36%

13.05%

ANALYSIS OF U.S. NEXUS TO 22 SWMUS INVESTIGATED BY EXXON						
Information on SWMUs			# of wells, soil borings and test pits planned during the investigation			Dates of Use
SWMU 47	Waste Clay pile (1 million tons) in northern portion of site used to filter lubricating oils	32 (present) to 56 (historical) acres, 530,000-1,000,000 cu yds of waste	8	12	0	Pre-1947-1977
SWMU 54	Small Landfill in southern portion of Site for disposal of oily sludge and dredge spoil	One acre, 300 cu yds of waste	2	4	0	>March 1973- Winter 1979 Prior to 1930 used for disposal of dredge spoils
SWMU 55/56	Landfarm for treating lead-containing sludge	55 -- .54 acre, 3,700 cu yds waste; 56 -- 4.2 acres 25,000 cu yds waste; 6.9 gallons of treated sludge from Separator 3M	0	5	4	>1962-<1973 (55); <1969-Feb 1974 (56)
SWMU 57	Landfarm east of Separator 10 for disposal of sludge from nearby separators					-
SWMU 58	Landfarm	1.7 acres 1,300 cu yds waste	4	0	0	Landfarming from <1969-Feb 1974
SWMU 59	Old Facility No. N, Old Sludge Pit/Landfill -- Oils sludge pit east of Houston Ship Channel for disposal of sludge	4.2 acres 50,000 cu yds waste	1	11	2	1930-<1947
SWMU 69	Old Facility No. AA, Old Separator Area, Old Separator 2	8.2 acres; Unknown cu yds of waste				1930-<1962
SWMU 60	Old Facility No. O Landfarm	16 acres (9.2 acres landfarm area) 8,000 cu yds waste	10	5	0	1930-1947 for drdge spoil disposal; 1947 (earthwork)-1973; 1957-1973 (landfarm)
SWMU 61	Landfarm	9.2 acres 800 cu yds waste	5	7	0	1956-1962 to 1973-1974
SWMU 62	Old Facility No. Q; Landfill (five potential impoundments, burn pit, garbage disposal)	29-50 acres Unknown cu yds waste	0	12	5	1919-1971
SWMU 64	Old Facility No. S Landfarm	8.1 acres (landfarm area smaller) 1,500 cu yds	2	4	0	unknown-1971
SWMU 65	Old Facility No. T Landfarm	4 acres 500 cu yds waste	6	0	0	1969-1971
SWMU 66	Old Facility No. U Landfarm	13 acres 9,500 cu yds waste	4	5	0	1962 (or 1969) - 1972
SWMU 67	Landfarm	12 acres 4,000 cu yds waste	4	5	0	1956-1962 to 1973-1974
SWMU 70	Old Facility No. BB; Old Separator 3; Separator 3M Surface Impoundment near Separator 3M for disposal of Oily Sludge	8.6 acres (5.3 acres clean closed)	4	4.5	0	1927-1985
SWMU 71	Old Separator 12; Old Facility No. CC Surface Impoundment, Landfill	0.73 acre Unknown cu yds waste	0	1.5		1932-1947 to <1956
SWMU 72	Old Facility No. DD; Surface Impoundment; Sludge Pit near Hydrocodimer Plant	1.5 acres Unknown cu yds waste	0	5.5	0	<1927-to 1947-1956
SWMU 73	Old Facility No. EE Slush Pit, Surface Impoundment	0.15 acre Unknown cu yds waste	0	3.5	0	<1930 to 1947-1956
SWMU 74	Old Separator 1; Old Facility No. FF	0.16 acre Unknown cu yds waste	0	5	0	<1930 to 1947-1957
SWMU 75	Old Facility GG; Landfarm	3.9 acres Unknown cu yds waste	5	0	0	1965-1970
SWMU 83	Old Aeration Basin	8.5 acres 21,000,000 gallon capacity	0	6	8	1956 (liquid present); 1967-- present -- became biological treatment basin in 1985 for WOU
SWMU 90	Old Separator 4 Impoundment; Landfarm	0.15 acre Unknown cu yds waste	0	4	1	<1927-<1932
		Total wells, soil borings and test pits	55	100	20	
		Total U.S. Nexus	23	60	7	
		Total no U.S. Nexus	32	40	13	
Percentage of of wells, soil borings and test pits for SWMUs with U.S. Nexus			41.82%	60.00%	35.00%	45.61%